

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/489,461	01/21/2000	Phong Nguyen	004184.P1004	5879
8933	7590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS, LLP			MERLINO, AMANDA H	
ATTN: WILL ONE LIBERT	IAM H. MURRAY Y PLACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1650 MARKET STREET			2877	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7396			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/489,461	NGUYEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LAMITHIE	Artonit		
Amanda H Merlino	2877		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	:d
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	no
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fe have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee un 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nder th in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendm canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	те
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: examiner stands on the grounds that Halter teaches the limitations of the clalims. Reading the claim broadly, Halter teaches a method of projecting all a position corresponding tto a "dimensional tolerance limit (col 2:lines 18-31), detecting the light beam at the position, and monitors for a change in the detected light beam at the position, The phrase "indicative that a dimension of the photolithography element has reached the dimensional tolerance limit" is not deemed to be a "positive limitation." It merely states that a dimension of the pellicle has changed. The claim lacks to clearly define that a dimension is being measured. Refer to claim 1, wherein it is clear that the thickness of the pellicle is being measured.

Frank G. Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800